

Twists of reflection groups and Cherednik algebras

Hopf25, ULB, Brussels, 24.4.25

Yuri Bazlov (University of Manchester)
arXiv:2501.06673 by E. Jones-Healey, YB + work in progress by YB



DKO Travel Fund

Reflection groups after Chevalley, Shephard, Todd, Serre

Theorem¹. Let $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V = n$. For a finite group $G \subset GL(V)$, TFAE:

- $S(V)^G$ is a polynomial algebra.
- $S(V) \cong S(V)^G \otimes \text{Har}_G$ i.e. $S(V)$ is free over the invariants.
- G is generated by (complex) reflections.

$$S: V \rightarrow V$$
$$\dim (s - \text{Id})V = 1$$

Complex reflection groups of rank n are classified according to Shephard-Todd: infinite family $G(m, p, n)$ of imprimitive groups, and several exceptional cases. (*This includes all Weyl and Coxeter groups*)

¹ C. Chevalley. “Invariants of finite groups generated by reflections”. In: Amer. J. Math. 77 (1955).

The Shephard-Todd groups $G(m, p, n)$

$G(m, p, n)$, where $p|m$, is the group of $n \times n$ matrices with

- $n - 1$ zeros and one m th root of unity in every row;
- ditto in every column;
- \prod (all non-zero entries) = an (m/p) th root of unity.

$G(1, 1, n) \cong S_n$ is the Coxeter group of type A_{n-1} ;

$G(2, 1, n) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rtimes S_n$ is the Coxeter group of type B_n ;
Hyperoctahedral group

$G(2, 2, n) \subset G(2, 1, n)$ is the Coxeter group of type D_n ;

$G(m, 1, n)$ is the wreath product group $\mathbb{Z}_m^n \rtimes S_n$.

Drinfeld's problem

Drinfeld² posed the following problem: let finite G act on V and let $\kappa: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G$ be a skew-symmetric bilinear form.

Consider the relations $R = \{xy - yx - \kappa(x, y) : x, y \in V\}$.

Call $A_\kappa(G, V) = T(V) \rtimes \mathbb{C}G / (R)$ a degenerate affine Hecke algebra if it factorizes as $S(V) \cdot \mathbb{C}G$.

(Clearly, $A_0(G, V) = S(V) \rtimes \mathbb{C}G$)

What are degenerate affine Hecke algebras?

Too difficult

² V. G. Drinfeld. "Degenerate affine Hecke algebras and Yangians". In: *Funktional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 20.1 (1986).

Solution: rational Cherednik algebra

Etingof and Ginzburg³ solved Drinfeld's problem assuming that V has a G -invariant nondegenerate symplectic form.

E.g., $V \oplus V^*$ has canonical such form, invariant w.r.t. $G \subset GL(V) \rightsquigarrow$ **rational Cherednik algebra** $H_{\kappa}(G, V) = S(V) \cdot \mathbb{C}G \cdot S(V^*)$.

Essentially G must be a reflection group, and $\kappa(y, x) = t\langle y, x \rangle + \sum_s c_s \langle y, (1 - s)x \rangle s$ where s runs over the reflections in G .

(reflection groups after Etingof-Ginzburg)

³ P. Etingof and V. Ginzburg. "Symplectic reflection algebras, Calogero-Moser space, and deformed Harish-Chandra homomorphism". In: *Invent. Math.* 147.2 (2002).

Attempts to construct a q -analog of reflection groups

Two such simultaneous and independent attempts.

Kirkman, Kuzmanovich and Zhang: replace “ $S(V)^G$ is polynomial” in the Chev-Shep-Todd by: G acts on $S_q(V) = \mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \dots, x_n | x_j x_i = q_{ij} x_i x_j \forall i < j \rangle$ so that $\text{gl.dim } A^G < \infty$.

Theorem⁴: such G are generated by **mystic reflections**.

The basic new case is $q_{ij} = -1 \forall i < j$.

⁴ E. Kirkman, J. Kuzmanovich, and J. J. Zhang. “Shephard-Todd-Chevalley Theorem for Skew Polynomial Rings”. In: *Algebras and Representation Theory* 13 (2008).

Attempts to construct a q -analog of reflection groups

Berenstein, B.: replace $S(V)$, $S(V^*)$ in rational Cherednik algebra by $S_q(V)$, $S_q(V^*)$.

Theorem⁵: these q -Cherednik algebras are bosonizations of **braided Cherednik algebras**, with G explicitly listed.

The basic case of a braided Cherednik algebra is $q_{ij} = -1 \ \forall i < j$.

⁵ Y. Bazlov and A. Berenstein. “Noncommutative Dunkl operators and braided Cherednik algebras”. In: *Selecta Mathematica* 14 (2009).

Both attempts give the same groups

Theorem⁶: the braided Cherednik algebras at $\underline{q = -1}$ exist over $\mu G(m, p, n)$ where m is even, $p|m$: the group of $n \times n$ matrices g with

- $n - 1$ zeros and one m th root of unity in every row;
- ditto in every column;
- $\det g = \text{an } (m/p)\text{th root of unity.}$

The nicest example in rank n is $\mu G(2, 2, n) =$ group of elements of determinant 1 (even elements) in the Coxeter group of type B_n .

⁶ Y. Bazlov and A. Berenstein. “Mystic reflection groups”. English. In: *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications* 10 (2014).

More on $\mu G(2, 2, n)$

This room is named after⁷

$G = \mu G(2, 2, n)$ is generated by $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}$ subject to:

- $\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}; \quad \sigma_i, \sigma_j$ commute if $j > i + 1;$
- $\sigma_i^4 = 1;$
- $\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}^2$ pairwise commute.

σ_i acts on $\mathbb{C}_{-1}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ via $x_i \mapsto -x_{i+1}, x_{i+1} \mapsto x_i, x_k \mapsto x_k, k \neq i, i+1$.
Same for y_1, \dots, y_n . + Commutation rel^{ns}:

$$H_{t,c}(G) = \mathbb{C}_{-1}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \cdot \mathbb{C}G \cdot \mathbb{C}_{-1}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$$

⁷ J. Tits “Normalisateurs de Tores I. Groupes de Coxeter Étendus”. In: *Journal of Algebra* 4.1 (1966).

Minimal example: $\mu G(2, 2, 2)$

The group $G = \mu G(2, 2, 2)$ is $\langle \sigma | \sigma^4 = 1 \rangle$.

Braided Cherednik algebra $\underline{H}_{t,c} = \langle x_1, x_2, \sigma, y_1, y_2 \rangle /$

- $x_1 x_2 = -x_2 x_1, y_1 y_2 = -y_2 y_1, \sigma^4 = 1$
- $\sigma x_1 = x_2 \sigma, \sigma x_2 = -x_1 \sigma$, same for y
- $y_1 x_2 + x_2 y_1 = c\sigma, y_2 x_1 + x_1 y_2 = c\sigma^{-1}$
- $y_1 x_1 - x_1 y_1 = t + c\sigma, y_2 x_2 - x_2 y_2 = t + c\sigma^{-1}$.

Note that this algebra is defined over \mathbb{Q} .

Twisting the product in an algebra

A is a module algebra for a Hopf algebra H . Suppose $J \in H^{\otimes 2}$ is a **twist**, that is J is invertible and

- $J_{12} \cdot (\Delta \otimes \text{id})J = J_{23} \cdot (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)J$
- $(\epsilon \otimes \text{id})J = 1 = (\text{id} \otimes \epsilon)J$

(Example: a quasitriangular structure on a sub-Hopf algebra.)

Then H^J with $\Delta_J x = J(\Delta x)J^{-1}$ is a Hopf algebra.

If $J^{-1} = j' \otimes j''$ (summation understood) then:

A_J = vector space A with product $a * b = j'(a)j''(b)$ is an H^J -module algebra.

Properties of A preserved by twist

NB: properties of H which persist in H were studied e.g. by [AljEtGelNik'02] - not discussed today.

[Mont'05]: “ A is fin. generated”; if H is f.d. “ A is Noetherian”, “ A is PI”; [GuilKassMasu'12] H is f.d. unimodular: “ A is semisimple”; H acts linearly: “ A is a quadratic algebra”

* Jones-Healey⁸: “ A is Koszul”

The Koszul property is closely related to **deformations** of quadratic algebras [Drinfeld, Braverman-Gaitsgory, Ginzburg, Etingof etc]

⁸ Edward Jones-Healey. “Drinfeld twists of Koszul algebras”. In: *Comm. Algebra* 52.8 (2024).

A twist can preserve a factorization

An **algebra factorization** is subalgebras B, C of A such that the composition $\underline{B \otimes C} \hookrightarrow A \otimes A \xrightarrow{m_A} A$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces (*earlier written as $B \cdot C$*).

Lemma: if B, C are H -submodule algebras then $\underline{A_J = B_J \cdot C_J}$.

Lemma: if $\underline{A = A^H \cdot V}$ where V is an H -subspace, then $\underline{A_J = (A_J)^H \cdot V}$, and in addition $(A_J)^H \cong A^H$ as algebras.

Corollary: if A is free over A^H then so is A_J .

Therefore, if G is a reflection group and J is a twist on $\mathbb{k}G$ then $S(V)_J$ is free over $S(V)^{\mathbb{k}G^J}$. |

Skew poly's and braided Cherednik

$A = \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is $\mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}_2^n = \langle t_1, \dots, t_n | t_i^2 = 1 \rangle$ -module algebra

$J = \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} \frac{1}{2}(1 \otimes 1 + t_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes t_j - t_i \otimes t_j)$ is a twist on $\underline{\mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}_2^n}$

$A_J = \mathbb{k}_{-1}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ – that is, the twist of commutative polynomials gives skew polynomials.

Question: can we twist, by J , the rational Cherednik algebra $H_{t,c}(G) = \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \cdot \mathbb{k}G \cdot \mathbb{k}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$? Does $\mathbb{k}\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ act on $\mathbb{k}G$?

Skew poly's and braided Cherednik 2

Theorem⁹: assume m even $\mathbb{k} \supset \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[m]{1})$, $G = G(m, p, n)$ with $p|m$.

We have $\mathbb{Z}_2^n \subset G(m, 1, n) \triangleright G(m, p, n)$ so \mathbb{Z}_2^n acts on $G(m, p, n)$ by conjugation, and this action extends to $H_{t,c}(G)$.

And

$$H_{t,c}(G) \cong H_{t,-c}(\mu G) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{via } x_i \mapsto x_i \\ \quad y_i \mapsto y_i \\ s_i \mapsto \sigma_i \\ \sim \end{array} \right.$$

$s_i = (x_i \leftrightarrow x_{i+1})$ transposition

⁹ Y. Bazlov et al. "Twists of rational Cherednik algebras". In: *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics* 74.2 (2023).

What happens with the group algebras?

① $H = \mathbb{K}G(m, 1, n)$ is the twisting Hopf algebra.

$\mathbb{K}(\mathbb{Z}_m^{\times n} \rtimes S_n)$. What is H^J ?

Answer: $\mathbb{K}\mu G(m, 1, n)$

② $\mathcal{H}_{t,c} = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \circ \mathbb{K}G(m, p, n) \cdot \mathbb{K}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$

What is $\mathbb{K}G(m, p, n)^J$?

Answer: $\mathbb{K}\mu G(m, p, n)$

[may not be isomorphic
to $\mathbb{K}G(m, p, n)$]
if $\mathbb{K} \neq \mathbb{C}$

[Interesting case: $m=2$
 $p=2$]

The case of even $\frac{m}{p}$

If $\frac{m}{p}$ is even then the group $G(m, p, n)$ containing diagonal matrices with ± 1 on the diagonal.

$$\mathbb{K}\mathbb{Z}_2^n \subset G(m, p, n) \subset \mathcal{H}_{t,c}(G(m, p, n))$$

We are in the situation of a **strongly inner action**:

DEF An action \triangleright of H on A is **strongly inner** if
 \exists algebra homo m $U: H \rightarrow A$ such that

$$h \triangleright a = U(h_1) a U(S h_2)$$

THM [Kulish, Mudrov '11]: for a strongly inner action,
 $A_J \cong A$ via $a \mapsto (j' \triangleright a) U(j'')$

What happens with category \mathcal{O} for $\mathcal{H}_{t,c}(G)$ under the twist?

If $\frac{m}{p}$ is even, $\mathcal{H}_{t,c}(G) \cong \mathcal{H}_{t,c}^{\text{rational Cherednik}}(\mu G) \cong \mathcal{H}_{t,c}^{\text{braided Cherednik}}$
and categories \mathcal{O} for these algebras are isomorphic.

In fact, the groups G and μG are isomorphic.
 $m=2, n=1$: $\text{Irrep}(G(2,1,h)) \cong \left\{ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}, \begin{smallmatrix} \square & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix} \right) \right\}$
ordered pairs of partitions
total no. of boxes is n

What happens with category \mathcal{O} for $\mathcal{H}_{t,c}(G)$
under the twist? (contd.)

$m=2, n=1$

So we have two isomorphic categories, and isomorphism classes of simple objects in each category are labeled by $(\boxplus, \boxplus\boxplus)$.

What does the isomorphism / automorphism do?

Answer

$$X(\mu, \nu) \longleftrightarrow \underline{X}(\mu, \nu^*)$$

e.g. $X(\boxplus, \boxplus\boxplus) \longleftrightarrow \underline{X}(\boxplus, \boxplus)$

flip

What happens with finite-dimensional
quotients ("baby Cherednik algebras")

$$\overline{H}_{0,c}(G) = H_{0,c}(G) / (\text{invariants without constant term}$$

[Gordon]

$$\text{in } \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n], \\ \mathbb{K}[y_1, \dots, y_n])$$

Answer: over \mathbb{Q} , twisted $\overline{H}_{0,c}(G(2,2,2))$
is not isomorphic to the
untwisted one.

More general twists

Example $G(3,1,n) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^n \rtimes S_n \quad n \geq 2$

The abelian group \mathbb{Z}_3^n has the twist

$$J = \frac{1}{3} \prod_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} \left(\sum_{a,b=0}^2 \omega^{ab} t_i^a \otimes t_j^b \right)$$

where $\omega^3 = 1$ in \mathbb{k} . Note that

$\mathbb{k}[G(3,1,n)]^J$ is not cocommutative

→ apparently $\underline{H_{t,c}} = \mathbb{k}_\omega[x_1, \dots, x_n] \otimes \underset{\text{Hopf}}{H} \otimes \mathbb{k}_\omega[y_1, \dots, y_h]$

THANK YOU.